# World Journal of *Respirology*

World J Respirol 2023 May 26; 12(1): 1-15





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Respirology Respirology

# Contents

Continuous Publication Volume 12 Number 1 May 26, 2023

# **MINIREVIEWS**

Monoclonal antibody for COVID-19: Unveiling the recipe of a new cocktail 1

Bajpai J, Kant S, Verma AK, Pradhan A

## **CASE REPORT**

Pulmonary arterial hypertension confirmed by right heart catheterization following COVID-19 10 pneumonia: A case report and review of literature

Henriques King M, Ogbuka IC, Bond VC



# Contents

Continuous Publication Volume 12 Number 1 May 26, 2023

### **ABOUT COVER**

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Respirology, Deven Juneja, DNB, FNB, EDIC, FCCM. Director, Institute of Critical Care Medicine, Max Super Speciality Hospital, Saket, New Delhi - 110092, India. deven. juneja@maxhealthcare.com

### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Respirology (WJR, World J Respirol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of respirology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJR mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of respirology and covering a wide range of topics including bronchial diseases, ciliary motility disorders, respiratory tract granuloma, laryngeal diseases, lung diseases, nose diseases, pleural diseases, respiration disorders, respiratory center abnormalities, neuromuscular disorders, respiratory hypersensitivity, respiratory system abnormalities, pulmonary vascular diseases, respiratory tract fistula, respiratory tract infections, respiratory tract neoplasms, thoracic diseases, and tracheal diseases.

### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJR is now abstracted and indexed in Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Si Zhao; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Yu-Jie Ma.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Respirology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2218-6255 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
December 30, 2011	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Continuous Publication	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Kazuhiro Yamaguchi	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6255/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 26, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of WJR Respirology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Respirol 2023 May 26; 12(1): 1-9

DOI: 10.5320/wjr.v12.i1.1

ISSN 2218-6255 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

# Monoclonal antibody for COVID-19: Unveiling the recipe of a new cocktail

Jyoti Bajpai, Surya Kant, Ajay Kumar Verma, Akshyaya Pradhan

Specialty type: Infectious diseases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Arteaga-Livias K, Peru; Rotondo JC, Italy

Received: February 3, 2023 Peer-review started: February 3, 2023 First decision: February 21, 2023 Revised: March 3, 2023 Accepted: May 8, 2023 Article in press: May 8, 2023 Published online: May 26, 2023



Jyoti Bajpai, Surya Kant, Ajay Kumar Verma, Department of Respiratory Medicine, King George's Medical University, Lucknow 226003, India

Akshyaya Pradhan, Department of Cardiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow 226003, India

Corresponding author: Jyoti Bajpai, MD, Assistant Professor, Doctor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, King George's Medical University, E 1204 Shalimar Gallant Mahanagar, Lucknow 226003, India. jyotibajpai33@gmail.com

# Abstract

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has had a tremendous adverse impact on the global health system, public sector, and social aspects. It is unarguably the worst pandemic of the century. However, COVID-19 management is a mystery in front of us, and an authentic treatment is urgently needed. Various repurposed drugs, like ivermectin, remdesivir, tocilizumab, baricitinib, etc., have been used to treat COVID-19, but none are promising. Antibody therapy and their combinations are emerging modalities for treating moderate COVID-19, and they have shown the potential to reduce hospitalisations. One antibody monotherapy, bamlanivimab, and two cocktails, casirivimab/imdevimab and bamlanivimab/ esterivimab, have received authorization for emergency use by the United States Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of mild COVID-19 in high risk individuals. The European Emergency has made similar recommendations for use of the drug in COVID-19 patients without oxygen therapy. This brief review will focus on monoclonal antibodies and their combination cocktail therapy in managing COVID-19 infection.

Key Words: SARS-CoV-2; Mild COVID-19; Antibodies; Risk factors

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



**Core Tip:** The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is a severe public health emergency that necessitated the rapid development of novel medicines and viral detection technologies. Monoclonal antibodies against the receptor-binding domain of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike protein have become an important target for the creation of therapeutic antibodies. The use of antibody cocktails is anticipated to be a key component of an efficient COVID-19 treatment plan because SARS-CoV-2 has a high mutation rate, particularly when subjected to the selection pressure of aggressively applied preventive vaccinations and neutralising antibodies.

**Citation**: Bajpai J, Kant S, Verma AK, Pradhan A. Monoclonal antibody for COVID-19: Unveiling the recipe of a new cocktail. *World J Respirol* 2023; 12(1): 1-9 **URL**: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6255/full/v12/i1/1.htm

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5320/wjr.v12.i1.1

### INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has placed a high burden on healthcare systems globally[1]. The first case of COVID-19 was reported on January 30, 2020. As of July 20, 2021, India had the highest number of COVID-19 instances, with more than 30 million[2]. The second wave of COVID-19 was more severe than the first. There was a reported shortage of drugs, oxygen, hospital beds, and vaccines. Some patients with COVID-19 will develop acute disease and multiorgan complications, but there are currently no proven therapeutics to prevent or reduce COVID-19 related hospitalisations, complications, or mortality.

Various drugs are approved for patients hospitalised with severe COVID-19 infection, but only a few drugs for mild COVID-19 patients who are not sick enough to be hospitalised are available[3]. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are a new treatment for mild COVID-19 outpatients with a high risk. Recently, cocktail therapy has been approved for mild to moderate COVID-19 patients. Immunity to viral infection is a multipronged response, comprising the innate response-which restricts viral replication and creates an antiviral state in the local tissue environment, the adaptive response- in which virus-specific CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and the antibodies produced by B cells to control and clear the infection and generate immune memory. COVID-19 appears to evade or delay the innate immune response. If adaptive immunity is delayed for too long (because of efficient viral evasion, diminished innate immunity in the patient, or both), then the inability to control infection puts patients at an increased risk for severe or even fatal COVID-19 disease[4].

Despite recent studies demonstrating immune responses to COVID-19 as far as up to 8 mo after symptom onset, much remains to be learned about post-infection immunity to COVID-19[5,6]. Immunity to seasonal human coronaviruses is usually of short duration, and reinfection has been documented in patients who have already been infected with COVID-19[7]. Moreover, some individuals might not benefit from vaccination, as the vaccine trials published to date have not shown 100% efficacy, and real-world experience has demonstrated breakthrough events[8,9]. Furthermore, large parts of the population are still not vaccinated primarily because of supply issues and in part because of vaccine hesitancy. Thus, there is a pressing need for alternative therapies for COVID-19 patients. This article reviewes the currently approved cocktail therapy(-ies) in the management of COVID-19 disease.

### WHAT ARE MABS?

An antibody is a protein molecule naturally developed by the immune response to infection. Antibodies are an essential factor in immunity against most viral diseases. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are a single isotype with a defined specificity targeting with high potency a particular antigen *via* the antigenbinding fragment. As such, mAbs against COVID-19 have been derived from plasma donated by patients who recovered from COVID-19[10]. Polyclonal antibodies are usually defined as a mixture of diverse antibodies with mixed affinities for their targets. However, in the world of COVID-19 therapeutics, the term "polyclonal antibodies" is more descriptive of convalescent plasma with several antibody components[11].

mAbs are designed in a laboratory and mimic the natural immune system in response to infection. They are created for a specific target of infectious particles. A mAb is produced by exposing white blood cells to a particular viral protein cloned to produce antibodies to treat several infections and cancers [12]. mAbs that bind to the spike (S) protein of the COVID-19 virus stop the virus from binding the angiotensin-converting enzyme II (ACE2) receptor of human cells and prevent its invasion and replication[13]. mAbs have been effective against new COVID-19 variants B.1.1.7.

Even though more than 75 mAbs have been licensed by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), only three are used to treat or prevent infectious diseases like anthrax, respiratory syncytial virus, and Clostridium difficile, and two are used to treat Ebola virus diseases. mAbs are intended for patients recently diagnosed with COVID-19 who are not very sick and have risk factors for severe infection[14-16].

This article focuses on mAbs with neutralising activity against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which work by targeting the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the viral S protein, thereby preventing viral attachment to the ACE2 receptor and preventing a critical step in viral entry and infection. Bamlanivimab is a recombinant, neutralising human immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) mAb effective against the S protein of COVID-19. Etesevimab is a recombinant, fully human monoclonal neutralising antibody that binds to the surface S protein receptor-binding domain with high affinity and blocks viral binding to the ACE2 receptor of the host cell surface. Imdevimab and Casirivimab are IgG1 that act against SARS-CoV-2 S protein. Thus, the antibody cocktail thwarts the attachment of the virus and its entry into the human cell.

## MABS THERAPY: SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Casirivimab (REGN10933) and imdevimab (REGN 10987) were developed by Regeneron F and Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd pharmaceuticals, respectively, and bamlanivimab and the cocktail of bamlanivimab and esterivimab were developed by Eli Lilly and AbCellera, respectively. The following studies or their scientific data reveal how these mAbs are helpful in treating COVID-19 (Table 1).

### **BLAZE-1**

The BLAZE 1 trial was a phase 2/3 trial that enrolled 452 ambulatory COVID-19 patients and was given bamlanivimab as one of three doses [bamlanivimab (LYCoV555) -700 mg, 2800 mg, or 7000 mg in intravenous (IV) infusion] or placebo. The quantitative virologic endpoints and clinical outcomes were assessed[17]. The immediate result was the change in the viral load by day 11. For patients who received a 2800 mg (middle) antibody dose, viral load decreased by a factor of 3.4. The patients who received the 700 mg (lower) dose or the 7000 mg (higher) amount showed a more negligible difference from the placebo in the viral load change from baseline. In addition, bamlanivimab antibody therapy resulted in fewer hospitalisations and/or emergency room visits (1.9% in 2800 mg treatment group compared to 6.3% in the placebo group).

### ACTIVE-3

The ACTIVE -3 trial enrolled 314 (163 drug group and 151 placebo group) hospitalised COVID-19 patients without end organ failure<sup>[18]</sup>. All the patients were also on supportive care as background therapy, including an antiviral drug and when indicated, supplemental oxygen and glucocorticoids. Bamlanivimab at a 7000 mg or placebo dose was administered as a single IV infusion over 1 h. The results revealed that mAbs when administered with remdesivir did not show efficacy among hospitalised COVID-19 patients without end organ failure.

### **BLAZE-2**

This randomised phase 3 clinical trial enrolled 966 residents and staff at a United States nursing facility with at least one confirmed COVID-19 index case and who were negative at baseline for COVID-19 infection and serology. The incidence of COVID-19 disease among those treated with the antibody bamlanivimab vs placebo (8.5% vs 15.2% respectively) was lower[19]. Bamlanivimab monotherapy compared with a placebo reduced the risk of COVID-19 in residents and staff of nursing facilities.

### COCKTAIL THERAPY

### Bamlanivimab and etesevimab

The BLAZE-1 phase 3 trial showed that the cocktail of bamlanivimab and etesevimab was associated with a significant reduction in viral load compared to the placebo. In contrast, bamlanivimab monotherapy did not result in a substantial reduction. The cocktail was also shown to reduce the number of hospitalisations. The trial included 518 patients in the treatment arm who received a single infusion of bamlanivimab 2800 mg and etesevimab 2800 mg together, and 517 patients received a placebo<sup>[20]</sup>. The primary endpoint was COVID-19 related hospitalisations or death by any cause during the 29-d follow-up. Hospitalisation or death occurred in 36 (7%) patients who received a placebo compared to 11 (2%) patients treated with bamlanivimab and etesevimab together (a 70% reduction). Ten deaths (2%) deaths occurred in the placebo group. Thus, all-cause death was significantly lower in the bamlanivimab and etesevimab group compared to the placebo group. The United States FDA granted Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA) for the 700 mg dose of bamlanivimab for ambulatory



Table 1 Properties of different studies of antibodies therapy in coronavirus disease 2019							
Ref.	Study type	Dose and duration	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes	Primary result	Additional characteristics	Adverse effects
Chen <i>et al</i> [17], BLAZE-1	Randomised, double- blind, placebo- controlled, single-dose trial	Total 452 patients; 309 in the bamlanivimab (LY- CoV555) group and 143 in the placebo group. mAb at doses of 700 mg, 2800 mg, and 7000 mg and placebo administered within 3 d after positive SARS-CoV-2 results	The change from baseline to day 11 (4 d) in SARS-CoV-2 viral load	COVID-19 related inpatient hospital- isation, a visit to the emergency department, death, safety, symptom severity, and time points for viral clearance	The viral load at day 11 was lower in patients who received 2800 mg drug compared to the placebo group	High-risk subgroups (an age of $\geq$ 65 yr or a BMI of $\geq$ 35), the percentage of hospitalisation was 4.2% in the LY-CoV555 group and 14.6% in the placebo group	Serious adverse events occurred in none of the patient treatment groups, diarrhoea was reported in 3.2% of the patients
Weinreich et al[23], REGN- COV2	Double-blind, phase 1-3 trial, 275 (1:1:1) non- hospitalised patients with COVID-19	REGN-COV2 is a combination of casirivimab (REGN10933) and imdevimab (REGN10987). Among the 275 patients, 90 were assigned to receive high- dose (8.0 g), 92 to receive low-dose (2.4 g), and 93 to receive placebo	The time-weighted average change in viral load from baseline (day 1) through day 7	The percentage of patients with at least one COVID-19 related medically attended visit through day 29	REGN-COV2 enhanced clearance of virus, partic- ularly in patients in whom an endogenous immune response had not yet been initiated	The median age was 44.0 yr, 49% were male, 13% identified as Black or African American, and 56% as Hispanic or Latino	In this interim analysis, both REGN-COV2 doses (2.4 g and 8.0 g) were associated with few and low-grade toxic effects (1%) in the combined REGN-COV2 dose groups
Gottlieb <i>et</i> <i>al</i> [20], BLAZE 1	Multipart, 49 United States centres including phase 2/3, randomised, double-blind, placebo- controlled, single- infusion study (BLAZE- 1) ambulatory patients ( $n = 613$ ) and had one or more mild to moderate symptom	Patients were randomised to receive a single infusion of bamlanivimab [700 mg ( $n = 101$ ), 2800 mg ( $n = 107$ ), or 7000 mg ( $n = 101$ )], the combination treatment (2800 mg of bamlanivimab and 2800 mg of etesevimab ( $n = 112$ ), or placebo ( $n = 156$ )	Change in SARS-CoV-2 log viral load at day 11 (± 4 d)	A total of nine prespecified secondary outcome measures were evaluated. Three focused on viral load (time to viral clearance; the proportion of patients with viral support at days 7, 11, 15, and 22; time to symptom improvement; time to symptom resolution; and the balance of patients showing symptom improvement or resolution at days 7, 11, 15, and 22), and 1 focused on clinical outcomes (the proportion of patients with a COVID-19 related hospitalisation, emergency department visit, or death) at day 29	Among the 577 patients who were randomised and received an infusion, 533 (92.4%) completed the efficacy evaluation period (day 29). The change in log viral load from baseline at day 11 was -3.72 for 700 mg, -4.08 for 2800 mg, -3.49 for 7000 mg, -4.37 for combination treatment, and -3.80 for placebo	The mean age of patients was 44.7 $\pm$ 15.7 yr. A total of 315 patients (54.6%) were female, 245 patients (42.5%) identified as Hispanic, and 387 patients (67.1%) had at least one risk factor for severe COVID-19 (aged $\geq$ 55 yr, BMI $\geq$ 30, or $\geq$ 1 relevant comorbidity such as hypertension)	Immediate hypersensitivity reactions were reported in 9 patients (6 bamlanivimab, 2 combination treatment, and 1 placebo). No deaths occurred during the study treatment
BLAZE-2 [21]	Randomised, double- blind, single-dose, phase 3 placebo- controlled trial, 966 participants (300 residents and 666 staff) who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 at baseline	Bamlanivimab 4200 mg or placebo only if a nursing home recorded at least one confirmed case of SARS- CoV-2 infection among residents or facility staff from a sample collected within the last 7 d	To find incidence of COVID-19, defined as the detection of SARS- CoV-2 by reverse transcriptase-PCR and mild or worse disease severity within 21 d of detection, within 8 wk of randomisation	To find incidence of moderate or worse COVID-19 severity and incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection	Bamlanivimab significantly reduced the incidence of COVID-19 in the prevention population compared with placebo [8.5% $vs$ 15.2%; odds ratio: 0.43 (95%CI: 0.28-0.68); P < 0.001]; absolute risk difference, -6.6 (95%CI: -10.7 to -2.6 percentage points)	Significantly reduced the incidence of moderate or worse COVID-19 compared with placebo (8.3% <i>vs</i> 14.1%)	The rate of participants with adverse events was 20.1% in the bamlanivimab group and 18.9% in the placebo group. The most common adverse events were urinary tract infection (2.0%) in bamlanivimab and (2.4%) placebo and hypertension (1.2%) in bamlanivimab and (1.7%) placebo
Lundgren <i>et al</i> [18],	Randomised, double- blind, placebo-	Hospitalised COVID-19 patients ( $n = 314$ ) without	A sustained recovery, as assessed in a time-to-	Death from any cause	Hospitalised patients with COVID-19 who received mAb	The majority of patients had hypoxemia and tested the	Serious adverse events (19%) in the LY-CoV555 group and

# Baishideng® WJR | https://www.wjgnet.com

ACTIVE-3	controlled trial	end organ failure, single infusion of the neutralising mAb antibody LY-CoV555 (at a dose of 7000 mg)	event analysis, through day 90 as well as two ordinal outcomes that were measured at day 5		did not have better clinical outcomes at day 5 than those who received placebo	effect of LY-CoV555 on a background of remdesivir and substantial glucocorticoid therapy	(14%) in the placebo
REGN- COV2067 <sup>24</sup>	Phase (I-III) adaptive randomised placebo control double-blind	COVID-19 in infected non- hospitalised patients ( <i>n</i> = 4567; REGN-COV2067)	1200 mg cocktail ( <i>n</i> = 736), placebo ( <i>n</i> = 748), and another group cocktail dose 2400 mg IV ( <i>n</i> = 1355), placebo ( <i>n</i> = 1341)	Clinically significant effect on risk of COVID-19 hospitalisation or all-cause death in high-risk non-hospitalised patients and confirm safety	Cocktail of casirivimab and imdevimab significantly reduced the risk of hospital- isation or death by 70% (1200 mg IV) and 71% (2400 mg IV) compared to placebo	Cocktail therapy reduced symptom duration from 14 d to 10 d (median numbers)	Not mentioned

BMI: Body mass index; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; IV: intravenous; mAb: Monoclonal antibody; SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

#### COVID-19 patients at high risk[21,22].

The EUA advised the population on the benefits of monotherapy despite uncertainties[21,22]. The authorised dosage of 700 mg bamlanivimab and 1400 mg etesevimab administered together was based on analyses of available preclinical, clinical, and virologic data as well as pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modelling, which supported that the authorised dosage was expected to have a similar clinical and virologic effect to 2800 mg bamlanivimab and 2800 mg etesevimab administered together.

#### Casirivimab and imdevimab

**REGN-COV-2:** The mAbs casirivimab and imdevimab bind to the non-overlapping portion of the RBD. A phase 1/2/3 trial (NCT04425629) is taking place across several countries. The phase 3 trial results have been reported. The trial enrolled 4576 patients with one risk factor for severe COVID-19, and an IV infusion of 1200 mg or 2400 mg caserivimab/imdevimab *vs* placebo was given. The trial reached its primary outcome and depicted that the casirivimab and imdevimab cocktail significantly reduced the risk of hospitalisation or death by 70% in the 1200 mg dose arm and 71% in the 2400 mg dose arm; both were significant compared with placebo[23]. In addition, benefits in the secondary outcomes were also found, including a 4-d reduction in the median duration of symptoms *vs* placebo.

Interim data from the first 275 patients (phase 1/2 portion) revealed that the cocktail showed virological efficacy resulting in an overall reduction in viral load of 0.25 log10 RNA copies/mL (95%CI: 0.60, 0.10) for the 2400 mg dose and a reduction of 0.56 log10 RNA copies/mL (95%CI: 0.91, 0.21) for an 8000 mg dose (combined dose reduction was 0.41 log10 RNA copies/mL, 95%CI: 0.71, 0.10) *vs* placebo at day 7.

No data on infectious virus titres or time to the cessation of viral shedding endpoints have been reported, similar to the situation with bamlanivimab or other mAb studies. An ongoing dose-ranging phase 2 companion trial in low-risk symptomatic or asymptomatic non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (NCT04666441) showed significant and comparable viral load reductions in casirivimab/ imdevimab doses ranging from 300 mg to 2400 mg delivered *via* IV or subcutaneously (SC). The casirivimab/imdevimab cocktail has received EUA by the United States FDA for the treatment of ambulatory patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 and a high risk of hospitalisation, and the EUA

has similarly recommended casirivimab/imdevimab for use in COVID-19 patients who do not require supplemental oxygen and who are at increased risk of progressing to severe COVID-19 (Figure 1).

A trial showed that SC injection of the antibody cocktail casirivimab and imdevimab reduced the risk of symptomatic COVID-19 infection by 81% in household contact with an infected person without COVID-19 antibodies. The trial was conducted by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease. The individuals treated with the cocktail therapy with symptoms were relieved in 1 wk compared to 3 wk in placebo. The FDA has given EUA for the use of casirivimab and imdevimab antibody combination for the treatment of mild to moderate COVID-19 in adults and children over 12 years and weigh more than 40 kg who are at high risk for progressing to severe disease/hospitalisation. The trial showed that the cocktail antibodies casirivimab and imdevimab were more effective when given as early as possible<sup>[24]</sup>. The Indian drug regulatory body, the Central Drugs Standards Control Organisation, has recently approved the cocktail regimen for use in the country in the fight against COVID-19. The drug, marketed by Cipla Inc. in India, is currently in vogue for clinical use [25]

Another contemporary mAb has been evaluated and is primarily targeted against the COVID-19 S protein. Sotrovimab, a mAb, also blocks the attachment and viral entry into the host cell. A phase 1/2/3 double-blind placebo-controlled trial enrolled 583 non-hospitalised mild to moderate COVID-19 adult patients. Of these, 291 received sotrovimab, and the rest received a placebo within 5 d of symptoms. The primary endpoint was hospitalisation or death through day 29. The result showed 21 (7%) patients were hospitalised or died in the placebo arm compared to 3 (1%) patients in the sotrovimab group. An 85% reduction in hospitalisation or death in the treatment group was observed[26]. Sotrovimab showed activity against the current variants reported in the United Kingdom, South Africa, Brazil, California, New York, and India. The EUA recommends a 500-mg single IV dose of sotrovimab for non-hospitalised mild to moderate COVID-19 patients<sup>[27]</sup>.

## WHICH GROUPS ARE SUITABLE FOR MAB?

mAb therapies have shown promise for treating non-hospitalised patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. The EUA recommends that mAb treatment be given within 10 d of symptom onset or as early as 72 h of positive COVID-19 result. However, treatment should begin as early as possible to mitigate viral proliferation. In the REGN-COV2 study, the effect of REGN-COV2 on viral load was most pronounced among patients with a negative serum antibody test result at baseline. Furthermore, most trials administered mAb treatment within 3 d of a positive COVID-19 test result and a median of 3-4 d after symptom onset. Altogether, these studies suggest that early mAb treatment is more efficacious than the later treatment for COVID-19 patients. Indeed, by the time a patient reaches the lung injury phase of infection, it is too late for mAb treatment to be effective, as suggested by the results from the ACTIV-3 study (Figure 2).

### Route, dose, and cost of mAbs

A 600 mg of each or a combined 1200 mg of the caserivimab and imdevimab cocktail has been approved for administration. This can be given either IV or SC. The administration of a total dose of cocktail antibody takes around 30 min. The patient should be kept on observation for 1 h to check for any adverse effects. The price for a dose of 1200 mg cocktail (600 mg of caserivimab and 600 mg of imdevimab) is INR 59750 (700 USD approx). This drug should be stored at 2-8 °C.

### Efficacy and safety

The clinical efficacy and safety profiles do not differ between mAb monotherapy and cocktails. Yet, monotherapy vs combination therapy is particularly relevant given the emergence of variant strains from the United Kingdom, South Africa, Brazil, California, New York, and India. The results from one study suggested that a mAb cocktail, particularly one combining antibodies that bind distinct and nonoverlapping regions, can minimise mutational escape [28]. More importantly, viral mutations can reduce the effectiveness of mAb monotherapy. A recent preprint publication reported that bamlanivimab and casirivimab are effective against the South African variant. Several variants have been labelled by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as "variants of concern" because the mutations they carry increase transmission, increase disease severity, and reduce the efficacy of mAb therapy and vaccinations.

### CONCLUSION

There is growing evidence that mAb treatment is effective, safe, and well-tolerated. Patients should know that mAb treatment is available to all patients at a high cost in India and that mAb treatment should be started within 72 h of a positive COVID-19 test result to affect the clinical course of COVID-19. Further studies on mAb efficacy and safety in different patient populations (e.g., young children and





Figure 1 Contraindications of monoclonal antibody therapy. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019.



Figure 2 High-risk groups indicated for treatment with monoclonal antibodies. CVD: Cardiovascular disease; HTN: Hypertension; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI: Body mass index; CKD: Chronic kidney disease.

pregnant women) are needed.

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Bajpai J conceptualised the article design; Bajpai J, Pradhan A, and Verma AK searched the literature; Bajpai J and Pradhan A drafted the manuscript; Critical revision was conducted by Kant S, Pradhan A, and Bajpai J.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

### Country/Territory of origin: India

ORCID number: Jyoti Bajpai 0000-0001-6337-856X; Surya Kant 0000-0001-7520-5404; Ajay Kumar Verma 0000-0002-2973-1793; Akshyaya Pradhan 0000-0002-2360-7580.



S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Zhao S

### REFERENCES

- Bezemer GFG, Garssen J. TLR9 and COVID-19: A Multidisciplinary Theory of a Multifaceted Therapeutic Target. Front Pharmacol 2020; 11: 601685 [PMID: 33519463 DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2020.601685]
- Corona virus update (Live). [cited 21 March 2021]. In: Worldometer [Internet]. Available from http:// 2 www.worldometer.info/coronavirus/
- Rotondo JC, Martini F, Maritati M, Mazziotta C, Di Mauro G, Lanzillotti C, Barp N, Gallerani A, Tognon M, Contini C. 3 SARS-CoV-2 Infection: New Molecular, Phylogenetic, and Pathogenetic Insights. Efficacy of Current Vaccines and the Potential Risk of Variants. Viruses 2021; 13 [PMID: 34578269 DOI: 10.3390/v13091687]
- Sette A, Crotty S. Adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Cell 2021; 184: 861-880 [PMID: 33497610 DOI: 4 10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.007
- 5 Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, Hastie KM, Yu ED, Faliti CE, Grifoni A, Ramirez SI, Haupt S, Frazier A, Nakao C, Rayaprolu V, Rawlings SA, Peters B, Krammer F, Simon V, Saphire EO, Smith DM, Weiskopf D, Sette A, Crotty S. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. Science 2021; 371 [PMID: 33408181 DOI: 10.1126/science.abf40631
- Rotondo JC, Martini F, Maritati M, Caselli E, Gallenga CE, Guarino M, De Giorgio R, Mazziotta C, Tramarin ML, 6 Badiale G, Tognon M, Contini C. Advanced Molecular and Immunological Diagnostic Methods to Detect SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Microorganisms 2022; 10 [PMID: 35744711 DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms10061193]
- Poland GA, Ovsyannikova IG, Kennedy RB. SARS-CoV-2 immunity: review and applications to phase 3 vaccine candidates. Lancet 2020; 396: 1595-1606 [PMID: 33065034 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32137-1]
- Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, Perez JL, Pérez Marc G, Moreira ED, Zerbini C, 8 Bailey R, Swanson KA, Roychoudhury S, Koury K, Li P, Kalina WV, Cooper D, Frenck RW Jr, Hammitt LL, Türeci Ö, Nell H, Schaefer A, Ünal S, Tresnan DB, Mather S, Dormitzer PR, Şahin U, Jansen KU, Gruber WC; C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 2603-2615 [PMID: 33301246 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577]
- Lin DY, Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Neuzil KM, Corey L, Miller J; COVE Study Group. Durability of Protection 0 Against Symptomatic COVID-19 Among Participants of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2022; 5: e2215984 [PMID: 35675078 DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.15984]
- Amit S, Regev-Yochay G, Afek A, Kreiss Y, Leshem E. Early rate reductions of SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 10 in BNT162b2 vaccine recipients. Lancet 2021; 397: 875-877 [PMID: 33610193 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00448-7]
- 11 Marovich M, Mascola JR, Cohen MS. Monoclonal Antibodies for Prevention and Treatment of COVID-19. JAMA 2020; **324**: 131-132 [PMID: 32539093 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.10245]
- Pantaleo G, Correia B, Fenwick C, Joo VS, Perez L. Antibodies to combat viral infections: development strategies and progress. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2022; 21: 676-696 [PMID: 35725925 DOI: 10.1038/s41573-022-00495-3]
- Ali MG, Zhang Z, Gao Q, Pan M, Rowan EG, Zhang J. Recent advances in therapeutic applications of neutralizing 13 antibodies for virus infections: an overview. Immunol Res 2020; 68: 325-339 [PMID: 33161557 DOI: 10.1007/s12026-020-09159-z]
- 14 Romero JR. Palivizumab prophylaxis of respiratory syncytial virus disease from 1998 to 2002: results from four years of palivizumab usage. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2003; 22: S46-S54 [PMID: 12671452 DOI: 10.1097/01.inf.0000053885.34703.84]
- FDA Approves Treatment for Ebola Virus. 2020. [cited 30 March 2021]. In: US Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. 15 Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-approves-treatment-ebola-virus
- Mulangu S, Dodd LE, Davey RT Jr, Tshiani Mbaya O, Proschan M, Mukadi D, Lusakibanza Manzo M, Nzolo D, 16 Tshomba Oloma A, Ibanda A, Ali R, Coulibaly S, Levine AC, Grais R, Diaz J, Lane HC, Muyembe-Tamfum JJ; PALM Writing Group, Sivahera B, Camara M, Kojan R, Walker R, Dighero-Kemp B, Cao H, Mukumbayi P, Mbala-Kingebeni P, Ahuka S, Albert S, Bonnett T, Crozier I, Duvenhage M, Proffitt C, Teitelbaum M, Moench T, Aboulhab J, Barrett K, Cahill K, Cone K, Eckes R, Hensley L, Herpin B, Higgs E, Ledgerwood J, Pierson J, Smolskis M, Sow Y, Tierney J, Sivapalasingam S, Holman W, Gettinger N, Vallée D, Nordwall J; PALM Consortium Study Team. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Ebola Virus Disease Therapeutics. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 2293-2303 [PMID: 31774950 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910993
- Chen P, Nirula A, Heller B, Gottlieb RL, Boscia J, Morris J, Huhn G, Cardona J, Mocherla B, Stosor V, Shawa I, Adams 17 AC, Van Naarden J, Custer KL, Shen L, Durante M, Oakley G, Schade AE, Sabo J, Patel DR, Klekotka P, Skovronsky DM; BLAZE-1 Investigators. SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody LY-CoV555 in Outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 229-237 [PMID: 33113295 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2029849]
- ACTIV-3/TICO LY-CoV555 Study Group, Lundgren JD, Grund B, Barkauskas CE, Holland TL, Gottlieb RL, 18 Sandkovsky U, Brown SM, Knowlton KU, Self WH, Files DC, Jain MK, Benfield T, Bowdish ME, Leshnower BG, Baker JV, Jensen JU, Gardner EM, Ginde AA, Harris ES, Johansen IS, Markowitz N, Matthay MA, Østergaard L, Chang CC, Davey VJ, Goodman A, Higgs ES, Murray DD, Murray TA, Paredes R, Parmar MKB, Phillips AN, Reilly C, Sharma S, Dewar RL, Teitelbaum M, Wentworth D, Cao H, Klekotka P, Babiker AG, Gelijns AC, Kan VL, Polizzotto MN, Thompson BT, Lane HC, Neaton JD. A Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody for Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 905-914 [PMID: 33356051 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2033130]
- Cohen MS, Nirula A, Mulligan MJ, Novak RM, Marovich M, Yen C, Stemer A, Mayer SM, Wohl D, Brengle B, 19 Montague BT, Frank I, McCulloh RJ, Fichtenbaum CJ, Lipson B, Gabra N, Ramirez JA, Thai C, Chege W, Gomez



Lorenzo MM, Sista N, Farrior J, Clement ME, Brown ER, Custer KL, Van Naarden J, Adams AC, Schade AE, Dabora MC, Knorr J, Price KL, Sabo J, Tuttle JL, Klekotka P, Shen L, Skovronsky DM; BLAZE-2 Investigators. Effect of Bamlanivimab vs Placebo on Incidence of COVID-19 Among Residents and Staff of Skilled Nursing and Assisted Living Facilities: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2021; 326: 46-55 [PMID: 34081073 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2021.8828]

- Gottlieb RL, Nirula A, Chen P, Boscia J, Heller B, Morris J, Huhn G, Cardona J, Mocherla B, Stosor V, Shawa I, Kumar 20 P, Adams AC, Van Naarden J, Custer KL, Durante M, Oakley G, Schade AE, Holzer TR, Ebert PJ, Higgs RE, Kallewaard NL, Sabo J, Patel DR, Klekotka P, Shen L, Skovronsky DM. Effect of Bamlanivimab as Monotherapy or in Combination With Etesevimab on Viral Load in Patients With Mild to Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2021; 325: 632-644 [PMID: 33475701 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2021.0202]
- Fact sheet for health care providers: Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of bamlanivimab. [cited 21 March 2021]. In: 21 US Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/143603/download
- 22 Fact sheet for health care providers: Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of bamlanivimab and etesevimab. [cited 21 March 2021]. In: US Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/145802/ download
- Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, Ali S, Gao H, Bhore R, Musser BJ, Soo Y, Rofail D, Im J, Perry C, Pan C, 23 Hosain R, Mahmood A, Davis JD, Turner KC, Hooper AT, Hamilton JD, Baum A, Kyratsous CA, Kim Y, Cook A, Kampman W, Kohli A, Sachdeva Y, Graber X, Kowal B, DiCioccio T, Stahl N, Lipsich L, Braunstein N, Herman G, Yancopoulos GD; Trial Investigators. REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 238-251 [PMID: 33332778 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035002]
- Fact sheet for health care providers: Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of REGEN-COV<sup>TM</sup> (casirivimab with 24 imdevimab). [cited 21 March 2021]. In: US Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. Available from: https:// www.fda.gov/media/145611/download
- CDSCO approves antibody cocktail drug for restricted emergency use to treat mild Covid-19 cases. [cited 21 March 2021]. 25 In: India Today [Internet]. Available from: https://www.indiatoday.in/coronavirus-outbreak/story/cdsco-approvesantibody -cocktail-drug-for-restricted-emergency-use-to-treat-mild-covid-19-cases-1804859-2021-05-0501-05
- Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, Crespo Casal M, Moya J, Falci DR, Sarkis E, Solis J, Zheng H, Scott N, Cathcart 26 AL, Hebner CM, Sager J, Mogalian E, Tipple C, Peppercorn A, Alexander E, Pang PS, Free A, Brinson C, Aldinger M, Shapiro AE; COMET-ICE Investigators. Early Treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Sotrovimab. N Engl J Med. 2021; 385: 1941-1950 [PMID: 34706189 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107934]
- Fact sheet for healthcare providers: emergency use authorization (EUA) of sotrovimab. [cited 21 March 2021]. In: US 27 Food and Drug Administration [Internet]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/149534/download
- Baum A, Fulton BO, Wloga E, Copin R, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Lanza K, Negron N, Ni M, Wei Y, Atwal GS, 28 Murphy AJ, Stahl N, Yancopoulos GD, Kyratsous CA. Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. Science 2020; 369: 1014-1018 [PMID: 32540904 DOI: 10.1126/science.abd0831]



WJR World Journal of Respirology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Respirol 2023 May 26; 12(1): 10-15

DOI: 10.5320/wjr.v12.i1.10

ISSN 2218-6255 (online)

CASE REPORT

# Pulmonary arterial hypertension confirmed by right heart catheterization following COVID-19 pneumonia: A case report and review of literature

Marshaleen Henriques King, Ifeoma Chiamaka Ogbuka, Vincent C Bond

Specialty type: Respiratory system

### Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

# Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Juneja D, India; Su C, China; Velikova TV, Bulgaria

Received: December 31, 2022 Peer-review started: December 31, 2022 First decision: January 20, 2023 Revised: February 24, 2023 Accepted: May 8, 2023 Article in press: May 8, 2023 Published online: May 26, 2023



Marshaleen Henriques King, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30310, United States

lfeoma Chiamaka Ogbuka, Hospital Medicine, Covenant Health, Knoxville, TN 37922, United States

Vincent C Bond, Department of Microbiology, Biochemistry & Immunology, Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30310, United States

Corresponding author: Ifeoma Chiamaka Ogbuka, MD, Doctor, Hospital Medicine, Covenant Health, 244 Fort Saunders West Blvd, Knoxville, TN 37922, United States. icdwrites@gmail.com

# Abstract

## BACKGROUND

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a disease of the arterioles resulting in an increased resistance in pulmonary circulation with associated high pressures in the pulmonary arteries, causing irreversible remodeling of the pulmonary arterial walls. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been associated with development of new onset PAH in the literature leading to symptoms of dyspnea, cough and fatigue that persist in spite of resolution of acute COVID-19 infection. However, the majority of these cases of COVID related PAH were diagnosed using echocardiographic data or via right heart catheterization in mechanically ventilated patients.

### CASE SUMMARY

Our case is the first reported case of COVID related PAH diagnosed by right heart catheterization in a non-mechanically ventilated patient. Right heart catheterization has been the gold standard for diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. Our patient had right heart catheterization four months after her initial COVID-19 infection due to persistent dyspnea.

### **CONCLUSION**

This revealed new onset PAH that developed following her infection with COVID-19, an emerging sequela of the infection



**Key Words:** Pulmonary arterial hypertension post COVID-19 infection; PAH after COVID-19 infection; COVID-19 induced Pulmonary arterial hypertension diagnosed with right heart catheterization; Pulmonary arterial hypertension; Right heart catheterization; Right heart catheterization; COVID-19

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Pulmonary arterial hypertension has been reported in literature as a cardiovascular complication of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). To our knowledge, this is the first case report of pulmonary arterial hypertension confirmed by right heart catheterization in a non-ventilated patient following infection with COVID-19 complicated by hypoxic respiratory insufficiency.

**Citation**: Henriques King M, Ogbuka IC, Bond VC. Pulmonary arterial hypertension confirmed by right heart catheterization following COVID-19 pneumonia: A case report and review of literature. *World J Respirol* 2023; 12(1): 10-15

**URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6255/full/v12/i1/10.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5320/wjr.v12.i1.10

# INTRODUCTION

This case highlights pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) as a potential pulmonary-vascular complication of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

# **CASE PRESENTATION**

### Chief complaints

A 71-year-old African American woman with a history of hypertension, chronic renal impairment and hyperlipidemia presented to the emergency department (ED) with fatigue, non-productive cough and mild dyspnea for a few days. She denied fever, myalgias, headaches, vomiting or diarrhea.

### History of present illness

She worked at a medical facility and reported possible exposure to COVID-19 while at work.

### History of past illness

She had no history of pulmonary disease, cardiac problems, venous thromboembolism, or sleep apnea. She denied smoking or use of illicit drugs.

### Personal and family history

She also reported no family history of dyspnea.

### Physical examination

On examination, her temperature was 98.4 F, pulse 73/min, blood pressure (BP) 118/74, respiratory rate 17/min and oxygen saturation 96% on room air. She had bibasilar crackles on chest auscultation with otherwise normal exam findings.

### Laboratory examinations

Labs revealed a normal blood cell count, creatinine 2.08 mg/dL, N-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptides 57 pg/mL, Troponin-T < 0.01 ng/mL and normal urinalysis.

### Imaging examinations

Electrocardiographic showed normal sinus rhythm with no abnormalities. Her chest X-ray showed patchy opacities in the right lung with no pleural effusions.

Saishideng® WJR | https://www.wjgnet.com

### FINAL DIAGNOSIS

She was COVID-19 tested, and initially discharged home on azithromycin with a subsequent positive test result two days later.

Seven days after her initial ED visit, she experienced worsening shortness of breath (SOB) and called 911. Emergency medical personnel, noting an oxygen saturation of 80%, placed her on supplemental oxygen at 2 L/min and transported her to the ED. There she reported severe SOB, a non-productive cough, loss of taste, and diarrhea. She denied fever, chest pain, or leg swelling.

Vitals revealed temperature 97.6 F, pulse 85/min, BP 94/71, respiratory rate 21/min with oxygen saturation 91% on 2 L/min via nasal cannula. On examination she had crackles bilaterally over the lung fields with an otherwise unremarkable exam.

Her labs revealed white blood cell count  $9.47 \times 10^{\circ}/L$ , creatinine 1.23 mg/dL, lactic acid 1.5 mmol/L, procalcitonin 0.13 ng/mL, C-reactive protein 8.4 mg/dL, D-dimer 521 ng/mL with D-Dimer 392 ng/ mL, ferritin 1585 ng/mL. Repeat CXR found increased patchy opacities in both lungs. Renal impairment prevented use of chest computed tomography (CT) angiography to assess for an acute pulmonary embolism and a lung scan was not pursued given her lung opcacities which rendered that form of testing unreliable.

### TREATMENT

She was admitted and placed on Levaquin for possible superimposed bacterial community-acquired pneumonia, vitamin C, and thiamine. Blood cultures showed no growth of any bacterial organisms, so antibiotics were discontinued. She improved clinically, was weaned off oxygen, and discharged home six days after admission.

### OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Two weeks post-discharge, during out-patient follow-up with pulmonary medicine she reported persistence of fatigue, a predominantly nocturnal non-productive cough, and SOB episodes.

Pulmonary function test (PFT) revealed mild restrictive changes with no evidence of airway obstruction. The diffusing capacity was normal after adjusting for alveolar volume.

Transthoracic echocardiogram revealed normal left ventricular systolic function with mild diastolic dysfunction and normal left atrial pressure. Right ventricular systolic function was normal, but there was moderate tricuspid regurgitation and moderate pulmonary hypertension (PH), with an estimated right ventricular systolic pressure of 50 to 55 mmHg.

A six-minute walk test (6MWT) revealed no evidence of exercise desaturation on room air and she ambulated 708 feet during the test.

Right heart catheterization (RHC) was scheduled to further evaluate her PH, but was initially postponed due to a positive repeat COVID-19 test done prior to the procedure (2.5 mo after her initial COVID-19 diagnosis).

This was finally performed four months after initial COVID-19 positive test and revealed mild PAH Table 1.

A lung perfusion scan, to assess chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, revealed no evidence of acute or chronic pulmonary embolism. CT chest, to assess for interstitial pulmonary parenchymal abnormalities, showed clear lung fields with complete resolution of previous COVIDrelated lung opacities.

Patient was given the option to start Sildenafil however, given the fact that her pulmonary hypertension was mild at the time, the patient opted for watchful waiting and declined initiation of therapy. Patient was then referred to pulmonary rehabilitation following which her functional capacity improved slightly. She made the decision to retire early due to concerns of being re-exposed to COVID in the workplace.

### DISCUSSION

COVID-19 has been associated with a number of cardiovascular complications including dysrhythmias, myocarditis, acute myocardial infarction, and venous thromboembolic events[1]. Several cases of PH related to COVID-19 have now been reported[2-5], however, in the majority of cases, the diagnosis was based on echocardiography data without confirmation via RHC which is the gold standard. Data on hemodynamics in COVID-19 patients on mechanical ventilation has also been published[6].

To our knowledge, this is the first case of PAH confirmed by RHC in non-mechanically ventilated patient following infection with COVID-19.



#### Table 1 Right heart catheterization data obtained in patient post-coronavirus disease 2019

	Measured values	Normal values
Right atrial pressure	5 mmHg	0-7 mmHg
Right ventricular pressure, systolic/diastolic	40/2 mmHg	45/2 mmHg
Pulmonary artery pressure, systolic/diastolic (mean)	37/14 (25) mmHg	25/12 (16) mmHg
Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure	8 mmHg	6-12 mmHg
Pulmonary vascular resistance	5 Wood Units (418 Dynes.sec.cm <sup>-5</sup> )	< 3 Wood Units
		(< 250 Dynes.sec.cm <sup>-5</sup> )
Transpulmonary gradient	17 mmHg	< 12 mmHg
Fick cardiac output	3.25 L/min	4.8-7.3 L/min
Cardiac index	1.79 L/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.8-4.2 L/min/m <sup>2</sup>

PAH is defined as a mean pulmonary artery pressure > 20 mmHg measured via RHC with a pulmonary artery wedge pressure < 15 mmHg and pulmonary vascular resistance > 3 units[3,7].

Mechanisms in which new onset PAH develop in the setting of COVID-19 could be multifactorial. Interstitial and alveolar inflammation can lead to extensive pulmonary damage (group 3)[8]. COVID-19 induced endothelial injury[9], microvascular pulmonary thrombosis[10] and hypoxic vasoconstriction [11] could also lead to alterations in pulmonary vasculature (group 4). SARS-COV-2 spike protein has been associated with pulmonary vascular remodeling seen in development of new PAH after COVID-19 infection[12-14].

In addition, positive end-expiratory pressure used in mechanical ventilation increases pulmonary vascular resistance[15], leading to changes in right ventricular function[16,17]. Therefore, the measurement of pulmonary pressures via right heart catheterization in mechanically ventilated patients may be falsely elevated [6].

Risk factors for COVID-19 patients developing new onset PAH include a history of cardiac disease[5, 18].

Like in our patient, symptoms of COVID-19 induced PAH include persistent dyspnea, cough and fatigue[3]. Our patient continued to experience exertional dyspnea after resolution of her acute COVID-19 illness. This was in spite of resolution of her bilateral lung opacities on imaging and normal PFT and 6MWT studies. Prior to diagnosis with COVID-19, our patient was employed full-time and was very active with no dyspnea.

PAH development after COVID-19 infection can lead to a more severe course of illness[19] and increased mortality<sup>[5]</sup>. It has been hypothesized that it can be managed with medications such as endothelin receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase five (PDE-5) inhibitors and prostacyclin, all of which are have been used to treat persons with group 1 PH (PAH)[8,19,20]. However, none of these drugs have been studied in sufficiently powered randomized clinical trials in this specific PAH population[8]. It is also currently unknown whether treatment could reverse the course of this form of PAH.

PAH related to infections is not an uncommon phenomenon. Worldwide, the most common cause of PAH is schistosomiasis<sup>[21]</sup>, and the prevalence of PAH in the human immunodeficiency virus population is 100 to 1000 times greater than in the general population [22-31].

### CONCLUSION

Development of PAH following infection with COVID-19 is an emerging area that deserves more investigation. Physicians and healthcare providers should have a reasonable level of suspicion for new onset PAH following COVID-19 and subsequently investigate patients presenting with persisting dyspnea following resolution of acute COVID-19 infection.

### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Henriques King M, Ogbuka IC, and Bond VC contributed equally to this work; All authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Patient in this case report provided informed written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.



CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Ifeoma Chiamaka Ogbuka 0000-0003-1370-1992.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhao S

### REFERENCES

- Long B, Brady WJ, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. Cardiovascular complications in COVID-19. Am J Emerg Med 2020; 38: 1504-1507 [PMID: 32317203 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.048]
- van Dongen CM, Janssen MT, van der Horst RP, van Kraaij DJ, Peeters RH, van den Toorn LM, Mostard RL. Unusually 2 Rapid Development of Pulmonary Hypertension and Right Ventricular Failure after COVID-19 Pneumonia. Eur J Case Rep Intern Med 2020; 7: 001784 [PMID: 32665939 DOI: 10.12890/2020\_001784]
- 3 Khan AW, Ullah I, Khan KS, Tahir MJ, Masyeni S, Harapan H. Pulmonary arterial hypertension post COVID-19: A sequala of SARS-CoV-2 infection? Respir Med Case Rep 2021; 33: 101429 [PMID: 33996435 DOI: 10.1016/j.rmcr.2021.101429]
- Tudoran C, Tudoran M, Lazureanu VE, Marinescu AR, Pop GN, Pescariu AS, Enache A, Cut TG. Evidence of 4 Pulmonary Hypertension after SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Subjects without Previous Significant Cardiovascular Pathology. *J Clin Med* 2021; **10** [PMID: 33430492 DOI: 10.3390/jcm10020199]
- 5 Pagnesi M, Baldetti L, Beneduce A, Calvo F, Gramegna M, Pazzanese V, Ingallina G, Napolano A, Finazzi R, Ruggeri A, Ajello S, Melisurgo G, Camici PG, Scarpellini P, Tresoldi M, Landoni G, Ciceri F, Scandroglio AM, Agricola E, Cappelletti AM. Pulmonary hypertension and right ventricular involvement in hospitalised patients with COVID-19. Heart 2020; 106: 1324-1331 [PMID: 32675217 DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317355]
- Caravita S, Baratto C, Di Marco F, Calabrese A, Balestrieri G, Russo F, Faini A, Soranna D, Perego GB, Badano LP, 6 Grazioli L, Lorini FL, Parati G, Senni M. Haemodynamic characteristics of COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation. An invasive assessment using right heart catheterization. Eur J Heart Fail 2020; 22: 2228-2237 [PMID: 33200458 DOI: 10.1002/ejhf.2058]
- Simonneau G, Montani D, Celermajer DS, Denton CP, Gatzoulis MA, Krowka M, Williams PG, Souza R. Haemodynamic definitions and updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2019; 53 [PMID: 30545968 DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01913-2018]
- Eroume À Egom E, Shiwani HA, Nouthe B. From acute SARS-CoV-2 infection to pulmonary hypertension. Front 8 *Physiol* 2022; **13**: 1023758 [PMID: 36601347 DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1023758]
- 9 Wang X, Tu Y, Huang B, Li Y, Zhang S, Lin Y, Huang L, Zhang W, Luo H. Pulmonary vascular endothelial injury and acute pulmonary hypertension caused by COVID-19: the fundamental cause of refractory hypoxemia? Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2020; 10: 892-897 [PMID: 32968645 DOI: 10.21037/cdt-20-429]
- Ciceri F, Beretta L, Scandroglio AM, Colombo S, Landoni G, Ruggeri A, Peccatori J, D'Angelo A, De Cobelli F, Rovere-10 Querini P, Tresoldi M, Dagna L, Zangrillo A. Microvascular COVID-19 lung vessels obstructive thromboinflammatory syndrome (MicroCLOTS): an atypical acute respiratory distress syndrome working hypothesis. Crit Care Resusc 2020; 22: 95-97 [PMID: 32294809 DOI: 10.51893/2020.2.pov2]
- Sylvester JT, Shimoda LA, Aaronson PI, Ward JP. Hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. Physiol Rev 2012; 92: 367-520 11 [PMID: 22298659 DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00041.2010]
- Suzuki YJ, Nikolaienko SI, Dibrova VA, Dibrova YV, Vasylyk VM, Novikov MY, Shults NV, Gychka SG. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein-mediated cell signaling in lung vascular cells. Vascul Pharmacol 2021; 137: 106823 [PMID: 33232769 DOI: 10.1016/j.vph.2020.1068231
- Mamzer A, Waligora M, Kopec G, Ptaszynska-Kopczynska K, Kurzyna M, Darocha S, Florczyk M, Mroczek E, Mularek-13 Kubzdela T, Smukowska-Gorynia A, Wrotynski M, Chrzanowski L, Dzikowska-Diduch O, Perzanowska-Brzeszkiewicz K, Pruszczyk P, Skoczylas I, Lewicka E, Blaszczak P, Karasek D, Kusmierczyk-Droszcz B, Mizia-Stec K, Kaminski K, Jachec W, Peregud-Pogorzelska M, Doboszynska A, Gasior Z, Tomaszewski M, Pawlak A, Zablocka W, Ryczek R, Widejko-Pietkiewicz K, Kasprzak JD. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Pulmonary Hypertension Patients: Insights from the BNP-PL National Database. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022; 19 [PMID: 35886278 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19148423]
- Thenappan T, Ormiston ML, Ryan JJ, Archer SL. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: pathogenesis and clinical 14 management. BMJ 2018; 360: j5492 [PMID: 29540357 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.j5492]
- Corp A, Thomas C, Adlam M. The cardiovascular effects of positive pressure ventilation. BJA Educ 2021; 21: 202-209 15



[PMID: 34026273 DOI: 10.1016/j.bjae.2021.01.002]

- Jardin F, Delorme G, Hardy A, Auvert B, Beauchet A, Bourdarias JP. Reevaluation of hemodynamic consequences of 16 positive pressure ventilation: emphasis on cyclic right ventricular afterloading by mechanical lung inflation. Anesthesiology 1990; 72: 966-970 [PMID: 2190501 DOI: 10.1097/00000542-199006000-00003]
- 17 Vieillard-Baron A, Jardin F. Why protect the right ventricle in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome? Curr Opin Crit Care 2003; 9: 15-21 [PMID: 12548024 DOI: 10.1097/00075198-200302000-00004]
- Wolters AEP, Wolters AJP, van Kraaij TDA, Kietselaer BLJH. Echocardiographic estimation of pulmonary hypertension 18 in COVID-19 patients. Neth Heart J 2022; 30: 510-518 [PMID: 35771380 DOI: 10.1007/s12471-022-01702-x]
- Castiglione L, Droppa M. Pulmonary Hypertension and COVID-19. Hamostaseologie 2022; 42: 230-238 [PMID: 19 34933375 DOI: 10.1055/a-1661-0240]
- Raval A, Edwards N, Kant R, Verma V. COVID-19 as a primary cause of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Chest 2021; 20 160: A2198 [DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2021.07.1939]
- Fernandes CJ, Jardim CV, Hovnanian A, Hoette S, Morinaga LK, Souza R. Schistosomiasis and pulmonary hypertension. 21 Expert Rev Respir Med 2011; 5: 675-681 [PMID: 21955237 DOI: 10.1586/ers.11.58]
- 22 Mehta NJ, Khan IA, Mehta RN, Sepkowitz DA. HIV-Related pulmonary hypertension: analytic review of 131 cases. Chest 2000; 118: 1133-1141 [PMID: 11035689 DOI: 10.1378/chest.118.4.1133]
- Humbert M, Sitbon O, Chaouat A, Bertocchi M, Habib G, Gressin V, Yaici A, Weitzenblum E, Cordier JF, Chabot F, 23 Dromer C, Pison C, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Haloun A, Laurent M, Hachulla E, Simonneau G. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in France: results from a national registry. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 173: 1023-1030 [PMID: 16456139 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.200510-1668OC]
- Speich R, Jenni R, Opravil M, Pfab M, Russi EW. Primary pulmonary hypertension in HIV infection. Chest 1991; 100: 24 1268-1271 [PMID: 1935280 DOI: 10.1378/chest.100.5.1268]
- Barbarinia G, Barbaro G. Incidence of the involvement of the cardiovascular system in HIV infection. AIDS 2003; 17 25 Suppl 1: S46-S50 [PMID: 12870530]
- Sitbon O, Lascoux-Combe C, Delfraissy JF, Yeni PG, Raffi F, De Zuttere D, Gressin V, Clerson P, Sereni D, Simonneau 26 G. Prevalence of HIV-related pulmonary arterial hypertension in the current antiretroviral therapy era. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008; 177: 108-113 [PMID: 17932378 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.200704-541OC]
- Mesa RA, Edell ES, Dunn WF, Edwards WD. Human immunodeficiency virus infection and pulmonary hypertension: two 27 new cases and a review of 86 reported cases. Mayo Clin Proc 1998; 73: 37-45 [PMID: 9443676 DOI: 10.1016/s0025-6196(11)63616-11
- 28 Himelman RB, Dohrmann M, Goodman P, Schiller NB, Starksen NF, Warnock M, Cheitlin MD. Severe pulmonary hypertension and cor pulmonale in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Am J Cardiol 1989; 64: 1396-1399 [PMID: 2531539 DOI: 10.1016/0002-9149(89)90594-8]
- 29 Isasti G, Moreno T, Pérez I, Cabrera F, Palacios R, Santos J. High prevalence of pulmonary arterial hypertension in a cohort of asymptomatic HIV-infected patients. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2013; 29: 231-234 [PMID: 22849654 DOI: 10.1089/AID.2012.0166
- 30 Opravil M, Pechère M, Speich R, Joller-Jemelka HI, Jenni R, Russi EW, Hirschel B, Lüthy R. HIV-associated primary pulmonary hypertension. A case control study. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997; 155: 990-995 [PMID: 9117037 DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.155.3.9117037]
- Pellicelli AM, Barbaro G, Palmieri F, Girardi E, D'Ambrosio C, Rianda A, Barbarini G, Frigiotti D, Borgia MC, Petrosillo 31 N. Primary pulmonary hypertension in HIV patients: a systematic review. Angiology 2001; 52: 31-41 [PMID: 11205929 DOI: 10.1177/000331970105200105]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

